#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference IGT1P042D1X2	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/US2007/079901		Priority date (day/month/year) 05 October 2006 (05.10.2006)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant IGT				

1.	This international preliminary rep International Searching Authority	port on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the value 44 bis.1(a).	
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
		nce to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference eport on patentability (Chapter I) instead.	
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).		

Date of issuance of this report 07 April 2009 (07.04.2009) Authorized officer The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes Dorothée Mülhausen 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 e-mail: pt01.pct@wipo.int

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) 05.10.2006 PCT/US2007/079901 28.09.2007 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC INV. G07F17/32 Applicant **IGT** This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: 1. Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. Name and mailing address of the ISA: Date of completion of **Authorized Officer** this opinion



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlage form NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

PCT/ISA/210

Van Dop, Erik

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4504



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2007/079901

	Bo	ox No. I Basis of the opinion	
_			
1.	W	ith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
1	$\boxtimes$	the international application in the language in which it was filed	
•		a translation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).	
· 2.		This opinion has been established taking into account the <b>rectification of an obvious mistake</b> authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))	
3.	. With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
,	a.	type of material:	
		□ a sequence listing	
		□ table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material:		
		□ on paper	
		□ in electronic form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing:		
		□ contained in the international application as filed.	
•		$\Box$ filed together with the international application in electronic form.	
	ļ	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
4.	□ .	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
5.	Additional comments:		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-17, 20

No: (

Claims

1, 2, 18, 19

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

<u>1-20</u>

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-20

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V.

1. The following documents are cited in the search report:

D1: EP 1 363 252 A (ATRONIC INT GMBH [DE]) 19 November 2003 (2003-11-19)

D2: WO 02/32526 A (INT GAME TECH [US]) 25 April 2002 (2002-04-25)

D3: US 2002/151366 A1 (WALKER JAY S [US] ET AL) 17 October 2002 (2002-10-17)

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 18 and 19 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, for the following reasons:
- 2.1. Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a gaming system comprising: a database (60) containing a plurality of preset gaming terminal configurations (cf. paragraph 25), wherein each configuration includes specific settings for a plurality of categories (cf. paragraph 43); a remote configuration device (56) in communication with the database (cf. figure 3) adapted to forward a configuration to at least one gaming terminal (cf. paragraph 46); and a plurality of gaming terminals (10A, 10B, 10C) in communication with the remote configuration device.

Consequently, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new.

2.2. Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a gaming terminal comprising: a housing (cf. figure 1); a network interface (32) adapted to communicate with a remote configuration device (56) that is associated with a database containing a plurality of preset gaming terminal configurations (60); a memory adapted to store a configuration forwarded from said remote configuration device (cf. paragraph 48); and a game controller (34).

Consequently, the subject-matter of independent claim 18 is not new either.

2.3. Independent method claim 19 is equivalent to independent system claim 1 in that it comprises the same technical features. Consequently, independent claim 19 is not novel for the same reasons as provided in paragraph 2.1. above.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2007/079901

3. Dependent claims 2-17, 20 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).